

Transport and Environment Committee

10.00 am, Tuesday 30 August 2016

Present:

Councillors Hinds (Convener), McVey (Vice-Convener), Aldridge, Bagshaw, Balfour Barrie, Booth, Nick Cook, Dixon, Donaldson, Doran, Gardner, Bill Henderson and Jackson.

1. Deputation: Redhall Tenants & Residents Association

The Committee agreed to hear a deputation from Tom Kelly and Alex Paton on behalf of Redhall Tenants and Residents Association.

The deputation outlined concerns they had regarding the volume of traffic and the behaviour of motorists within the Redhall area. The situation had escalated since the development of a second school being and a supermarket in 2013, in each case safety issues had not been resolved to the satisfaction of the Redhall Tenants and Residents Association. Council Officers helped the Residents Association to undertake a local consultation, which involved around 300 houses. The consultation confirmed that that the current arrangements were not acceptable not local residents.

The deputation asked that the Transport and Environment Committee give further consideration to what actions would be taken to ensure the safety of children and residents in the area.

The Convener thanked the deputation for their presentation and invited them to remain for the Committee's consideration of their deputation.

Decision

To agree the Convenor would meet with Redhall Tenants & Residents Group and Officers to discuss a Council facilitated consultation to determine future traffic arrangements for the area.

2. Deputation: Friends of the Meadows & Bruntsfield Links

The Committee agreed to hear a deputation from Heather Goodare and Joe Boyle on behalf of the Friends of the Meadows & Bruntsfield Links in relation to a report by the Executive Director of Place, Procurement of Major Events in Parks 2017-2019.

The deputation asked that the Transport and Environment Committee implement its decision made in August 2014 regarding events on the Meadows and the ring-fencing of additional income secured for infrastructure, features and facilities within the Meadows and Bruntsfield Links

The deputation raised concerns around the limited consultation that had been undertaken regarding recent expenditure within the Meadows and Bruntsfield Links. The Friends of the Meadows & Bruntsfield Links had several projects, such as installation of a public toilet and Park Rangers that required funding and felt that they had not been given the opportunity to bid for the available resources.

The deputation welcomed that a reasonable rent is now being charged for commercial events on the Meadows, but asked that events should be no longer than 15 days in length and that Park Rangers are deployed to control anti-social behavior on summer evenings, for Princes Street Gardens to be secured at night by a security firm, and for more 'events' money to be ploughed back into the maintenance and facilities of the Meadows.

The Convener thanked the deputation for their presentation and invited them to remain for the Committee's consideration of the report by the Executive Director of Place at item 9 below.

(Transport and Environment Committee 26 August 2014 (item 6))

3. Deputation: SPOKES & Roseburn Cycle Route Group

The Committee agreed to hear a joint deputation from SPOKES & Roseburn Cycle Route Group in relation to a report by the Executive Director of Place City, Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements: Consultation Results and Potential Project Amendments.

Richard Grant outlined the health and economical benefits that cycling can introduce primarily via the reduction of pollution and reduced congestion. Mr Grant felt that there was a strong perception that cycling in Edinburgh was unsafe and deters the least confident individuals from cycling. Spokes rejected Option B, describing it as indirect and should it be implemented it would be viewed as a white elephant. Mr Grant supported Option A outlined within the Executive Director of Place report as the most direct, joined up and logical route to take while offering potential for active travel for commuting, shopping and leisure but cautioned against reducing the width of the cycle lane 2.5 meters.

Henry Whaley, on behalf of the Roseburn Cycle Route Group, reported that the Group had been established due to the public misunderstanding the benefits of the West East Cycle route. Mr Whaley reported that pollution and unhealthy lifestyles are killing hundreds of people a year in Edinburgh and that to remedy this the Council should make it easier for people to be more active travellers. Improving opportunities for active travel would help reduce pollution and the over reliance on cars which is only likely to

increase due to proposed housing developments. Mr Whaley asked that the cycling infrastructure within the city to be improved upon to ensure that cycling is a more attractive pleasant experience.

The Convener thanked the deputation for their presentation and invited them to remain for the Committee's consideration of the report by the Executive Director of Place at item 10 below.

4. Deputation: The Roseburn Vision Group

The Committee agreed to hear a deputation from Barbara Knowles and Pete Gregson on behalf of The Roseburn Vision Group in relation to a report by the Executive Director of Place, City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements: Consultation Results and Potential Project Amendments.

The deputation submitted a petition, of 6,000 signatures, regarding the proposed changes to the A8. Most of the people that live in the west of the City access the city centre via the A8, around 10,000 daily making it one of the most polluted streets in the UK. The majority of small traders in the area had limited access to (un)loading bays yet some are being protected close to 2 of the larger retailers. Traders rely on passing trade which would be restricted should the cycle lanes be introduced. The width of the road was also a concern, particularly when used by coaches and HGV's, this would only be exasperated by potential housing developments close to the locus. In closing the deputation said that Edinburgh is not Holland and that there must be more imaginative ways to get cyclist to use the streets such as bikes on buses.

The Convener thanked the deputation for their presentation and invited them to remain for the Committee's consideration of the report by the Executive Director of Place at item 10 below.

5. Deputation: Central Taxis

The Committee agreed to hear a deputation from Tony Kenmuir on behalf of Central Taxis in relation to a report by the Executive Director of Place, City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements: Consultation Results and Potential Project Amendments.

The deputation asked the Committee consider the proposed design as it affects the taxi rank opposite Haymarket Station. Mr Kenmuir had concerns that travellers, on exiting the Train Station, would not see the taxi rank, due in part to the volume of traffic but also that taxis would be facing away from the station. Those wishing a taxi would be expected to cross a busy road to get the first taxi in the rank; this would be a serious risk for the elderly, infirm, families and those with luggage. The interaction of bus, taxi, tram, cars, pedestrians and cyclists at Haymarket Junction was also a cause for concern, even if there were alterations to the signalisation. The deputation added that the issues currently facing taxi drivers at Waverly Station including being too far from

the station, facing the wrong way and clogging up a busy street, would be replicated at Haymarket if the design, as it is at the moment, was approved.

The Convener thanked the deputation for his presentation and invited him to remain for the Committee's consideration of the report by the Executive Director of Place at item 10 below.

6. Deputation: Murrayfield Community Council

The Committee agreed to hear a deputation from Robert Smart on behalf of Murrayfield Community Council in relation to a report by the Executive Director of Place City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements: Consultation Results and Potential Project Amendments.

The deputation was concerned that Roseburn had not been functioning as well as it could be. To help solve this the Community Council had withdrawn 8 residents parking places and had made them available to the general public. The Community Council was also hoping to increase the width of the pavement by 2 feet but had been told that this would be extremely unlikely under Plan A.

Roseburn Terrace was not designed to hold the amount of traffic that uses it today. The introduction of cycle lanes, which would be used by 3% of the population, would not be welcome by either residents and/or shop keepers and would compound the issues that the area currently faces.

The deputation felt that Plan A would destroy the life of the area; however Plan B with no steep hills and the by-passing areas of high concentrations of traffic would be supported by the residents.

The Convener thanked the deputation for their presentation and invited them to remain for the Committee's consideration of the report by the Executive Director of Place at item 10 below.

7. Deputation: Art et Facts Gallery

The Committee agreed to hear a deputation from George Rendall on behalf of Art et Facts Gallery in relation to a report by the Executive Director of Place, City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements: Consultation Results and Potential Project Amendments.

The deputation had serious concerns about the amount of (un)loading and parking facilities contained within Plan A. Mr Rendall anticipated losing around 46% of his customers if Plan A was to be adopted, adding that to lose 10% of customers within the current economic climate would force many shops to close and that some shops had already closed due to the mere threat of the cycle lane.

The Convener thanked the deputation for their presentation and invited them to remain for the Committee's consideration of the report by the Executive Director of Place at item 10 below.

8. Deputation: Unite the Union

The Committee agreed to hear a deputation from Duncan McBride Ronnie Livingstone on behalf of Unite the Union in relation to a report by the Executive Director of Place Transport for Edinburgh – Governance.

The deputation reported that Lothian Buses was the standard bearer of how an effective, modern, locally owned bus service should be run. Lothian Buses continues to deliver operational excellence to the community of Edinburgh and the Lothian's with no subsidy to deliver a social inclusive service. The City is also given a dividend each year.

The deputation appreciated the need for a service level agreement and the need to ensure that Transport for Edinburgh, if it is indeed the medium for delivery, performs against the deliverables as defined by the City. Alignment with Transport for Edinburgh regarding strategy would be welcomed; however, there must be a clear delineation between strategy and operational management and delivery. Transport professionals advice must be heeded; to ignore it would undermine the future success of Lothian Buses.

The Convener thanked the deputation for their presentation and invited them to remain for the Committee's consideration of the report by the Executive Director of Place at item 11 below.

9. Procurement of Major Events in Parks 2017-2019

The Committee was asked to note the outcomes of the consultation regarding the proposals to extend the open procurement of events within Edinburgh's parks and approve the next stages of the process.

Councillor Main was heard as a local ward member. Councillor Main said that the expectation from the community was that income realised from events would be spent on specific investments and that decisions regarding the expenditure of this income would involve the local community. To date local communities had not been involved, adding that it is not clear how funds had been spent as it had been subsumed within the general spend.

Future investment in the Meadows must be made in an open and transparent way and involve the community and local elected members. Councillor Main stated that the Meadows was not a suitable venue for fringe events and that the damage inflicted on the park by events must be reflected in the fees paid.

Motion

- 1) To note the content of the report by the Executive Director of Place and the consultation feedback received through survey, workshops and correspondence.
- 2) To agree that three days set up and three days breakdown remains as part of the revised contract.
- 3) To agree that any additional days would not be included as part of the contract price paid but would be charged to the event organiser on a daily and a half pro rata basis.
- 4) To agree that the number of additional days for set up and breakdown would be limited to a maximum of four days.

- moved by Councillor Hinds, seconded by Councillor McVey

Amendment

- 1) To note the content of the report by the Executive Director of Place and the consultation feedback received through survey, workshops and correspondence.
- 2) To agree the number of days for the event period on the Meadows and Bruntsfield Links during August would be 15 days. Any set up or breakdown period would be contained within that 15 day period.
- 3) To agree that a review of the procurement of major events in parks would be undertaken and a report would be submitted to the Transport and Environment Committee on the conclusion of the 2017-19 events period.

- moved by Councillor Booth, seconded by Councillor Bagshaw

Voting

| | | |
|-------------------|---|----------|
| For the motion | - | 13 votes |
| For the amendment | - | 2 votes |

Decision

- 1) To note the content of the report by the Executive Director of Place and the consultation feedback received through survey, workshops and correspondence.
- 2) To agree that three days set up and three days breakdown remains as part of the revised contract.
- 3) To agree that any additional days would not be included as part of the contract price paid but would be charged to the event organiser on a daily and a half pro rata basis.

- 4) To agree that the number of additional days for set up and breakdown would be limited to a maximum of four days.

(References – Minute of Transport and Environment Committee 12 January 2016 (item 14); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

10. City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements: Consultation Results and Potential Project Amendments

Details of the public consultation, undertaken between November 2015 and February 2016, for the proposed City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements project (CCWEL) were provided. The Committee was asked to consider the two options for Roseburn.

Councillor Edie was heard as a local ward member. Councillor Edie reported that the coexistence of cyclists, pedestrians, motorists, those using public transport and local businesses is a subtle and complex balance of competing interests; by introducing a scheme like this would inevitably impact on all parties. It is important to ensure (un)loading bays and bus lanes are protected and that walking is promoted, all of which are contained within Option B. However, Councillor Edie felt that Option B did require further work, particularly regarding the protection of the bus route.

Motion

- 1) To agree with the overall principles of the 'Active Travel Programme' for the 'City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements Project', and resolve to support the overall programme through to completion.
- 2) To note the consultation results and comments, and that the design had now been amended to address a number of these comments, including the production of two options for the Roseburn area.
- 3) To acknowledge that both suggested options had outstanding design issues that would require further work, before finalisation of any detailed design, and eventual statutory processes.
- 4) To further acknowledge that the eventual statutory processes would provide a final opportunity for further public engagement and potential design adjustment.
- 5) To note that close analysis of the consultation engagement indicated a strong division of opinion on the two options for the Roseburn area – with the majority of 'Active Travel Forum' members supporting Option A, whilst there was a strong preference for Option B amongst local businesses and Community Councils.
- 6) To agree to establish a member/officer 'Stakeholder Group', comprised of the Convener, Vice Convener, the Transport Representatives of other Political Groups, and local Ward members, and relevant local groups, along with Officers

as agreed by the Executive Director of Place; to act as a 'sounding board' throughout the detailed design and eventual statutory processes.

- 7) To note that the above 'Stakeholder Group' would engage with all key stakeholders.
 - 8) To note that issues raised so far, to be addressed, are: Taxi position of Haymarket Station area; Safety issues relating to the two-way cycle lane; Traffic control at Russell Road-Roseburn Terrace junction; West bound bus lane and width of pavement at Roseburn Terrace/Roseburn Street.
 - 9) To agree all outstanding design issues raised through the 'Stakeholders Group' would then be brought to the 'Future Transport Working Group' for a final decision.
 - 10) To agree that the meeting of the 'Future Transport Working Group' would be held in public and that a final decision would be made by the Executive Director of Place under delegated authority.
 - 11) To give approval to engage a consultant to undertake detailed design and tender preparation, with the option for supervision of construction.
 - 12) To give approval to commence the necessary statutory processes to progress the project.
 - 13) To note that match funding for implementation of the project would be sought from the Scottish Government/Sustrans 'Community Links' fund and other sources as appropriate.
- moved by Councillor Hinds, seconded by Councillor McVey

Amendment

- 1) To note the consultation results and comments and that the design had now been amended to address a number of these comments, including the production of two options for the Roseburn area.
- 2) To agree to proceed with option A for the Roseburn area as outlined in paragraphs 3.17-3.26 and appendix 6 of the report by the Executive Director of Place.
- 3) To agree to establish a member/officer group, comprised of the Convener, Vice Convener and the Transport Representatives of other Political Groups along with officers agreed by the Executive Director of Place to oversee the detailed design process, with a particular focus on the Haymarket Station area. The

group would engage with key stakeholders including Edinburgh Trams and Lothian Buses;

- 4) To give approval to engage a consultant to undertake detailed design and tender preparation, with the option for supervision of construction;
 - 5) To give approval to commence the necessary statutory processes to progress the project.
 - 6) To note that match funding for implementation of the project would be sought from the Scottish Government/Sustrans 'Community Links' fund and other sources as appropriate.
- moved by Councillor Bagshaw, seconded by Councillor Booth

Voting

| | | |
|-------------------|---|----------|
| For the motion | - | 13 votes |
| For the amendment | - | 2 votes |

Decision

- 1) To agree with the overall principles of the 'Active Travel Programme' for the 'City Centre West to East Cycle Link and Street Improvements Project', and resolve to support the overall programme through to completion.
- 2) To note the consultation results and comments, and that the design had now been amended to address a number of these comments, including the production of two options for the Roseburn area.
- 3) To acknowledge that both suggested options had outstanding design issues that would require further work, before finalisation of any detailed design, and eventual statutory processes.
- 4) To further acknowledge that the eventual statutory processes would provide a final opportunity for further public engagement and potential design adjustment.
- 5) To note that close analysis of the consultation engagement indicated a strong division of opinion on the two options for the Roseburn area – with the majority of 'Active Travel Forum' members supporting Option A, whilst there was a strong preference for Option B amongst local businesses and Community Councils.
- 6) To agree to establish a member/officer 'Stakeholder Group', comprised of the Convener, Vice Convener, the Transport Representatives of other Political Groups, and local Ward members, and relevant local groups, along with Officers as agreed by the Executive Director of Place; to act as a 'sounding board' throughout the detailed design and eventual statutory processes.

- 7) To note that the above 'Stakeholder Group' would engage with all key stakeholders.
- 8) To note that issues raised so far, to be addressed, are: Taxi position of Haymarket Station area; Safety issues relating to the two-way cycle lane; Traffic control at Russell Road-Roseburn Terrace junction; West bound bus lane and width of pavement at Roseburn Terrace/Roseburn Street.
- 9) To agree all outstanding design issues raised through the 'Stakeholders Group' would then be brought to the 'Future Transport Working Group' for a final decision.
- 10) To agree that the meeting of the 'Future Transport Working Group' would be held in public and that a final decision would be made by the Executive Director of Place under delegated authority.
- 11) To give approval to engage a consultant to undertake detailed design and tender preparation, with the option for supervision of construction.
- 12) To give approval to commence the necessary statutory processes to progress the project.
- 13) To note that match funding for implementation of the project would be sought from the Scottish Government/Sustrans 'Community Links' fund and other sources as appropriate.

(References – Minute of Transport and Environment Committee 27 October 2015 (item 14); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

11. Transport for Edinburgh – Governance

Details of potential future governance arrangements for Transport for Edinburgh and the working arrangements between the Council, Transport for Edinburgh and Lothian Busses and Edinburgh Trams was provided.

Decision

- 1) To agree that a Service Level Agreement would be developed in line with Audit Scotland guidance that would give the necessary authorities to Transport for Edinburgh to ensure that operational plans are developed to meet the outcomes and objectives of the approved Transport for Edinburgh Strategic Transport Plan.
- 2) To agree that Transport for Edinburgh develop commercial business plans for the management and operation of Edinburgh Bus Station, Park and Ride sites

and City Operations (including CCTV, traffic and travel information and responses to facilitate efficient travel demand management) and integrated ticketing, communications and marketing, and that these proposals, and associated monitoring arrangements, would be reported back to the Transport and Environment Committee for approval.

- 3) To agree that Transport for Edinburgh develop a plan to procure a city bike hire scheme at no/minimal cost to the City of Edinburgh Council.
- 4) To agree that further discussions would be required with Edinburgh Trams and Lothian Buses, with any associated changes to the current governance arrangements being made as required and reported back to Transport and Environment Committee for approval.
- 5) To note the proposed working arrangements detailed in the report by the Executive Directors for Place.
- 6) To note that financial arrangements are established as set out in Section 5 to manage Transport for Edinburgh operating costs and that these would be reported to a future meeting of the Finance and Resources Committee.

Declaration of Interests

Councillors Hinds, McVey and Bagshaw declared a non-financial interest in the above item as a Board members of Transport for Edinburgh.

(Reference – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

12. Minutes

Decision

To approve the minute of the Transport and Environment Committee of 7 June 2016, as a correct record.

13. Key Decisions Forward Plan

The Transport and Environment Committee Key Decisions Forward Plan for August 2016 was submitted.

Decision

To note the Key Decisions Forward Plan for August 2016.

(Reference – Key Decisions Forward Plan, submitted)

14. Rolling Actions Log

The Transport and Environment Committee Rolling Actions Log updated to 30 August 2016 was presented.

Decision

- 1) To note the rolling actions log and to approve the closure of actions 1, 12, 22, 30, 32, and 34.
- 2) To note the expected completion date for rolling actions 6 and 13 had been revised.

(References – Act of Council No 12 of 24 October 2013; Rolling Actions Log 30 August 2016, submitted)

15. Transport and Environment Committee Business Bulletin

The Transport and Environment Committee Business Bulletin for 30 August 2016 was presented.

Decision

To note the Transport and Environment Committee Business Bulletin.

(Reference - Business Bulletin – 30 August 2016, submitted)

16. Supported Bus Service Network – Update

Attempts had been made to secure sponsorship of Festive bus services. An update on the discussions held and developments was presented.

Decision

- 1) To note the report by the Executive Director of Place.
- 2) To note the contracts for services 38 and 20 (Chesser-Gyle section).
- 3) To request that Lothian Buses consider extending the 63 to Balerno, to ensure access to hospitals following the loss of the Horsburgh 24.
- 4) To note the open competition taking place for a four year contract for the service 13.
- 5) To note that Lothian Buses Alternative Tender for the service 18 had been accepted at a cost of £2,825 per week (£146,900 per year) for up to four years.

(References – Minute of Transport and Environment Committee 15 March 2016 (item 19); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

17. School Streets Pilot Evaluation

Details of the School Streets pilot project (pilot) were provided. The Committee was asked to approve the commencement of the statutory process to make permanent the existing Experimental Traffic Orders for the pilot and to approve the updated School Streets selection criteria for considering school applications in the future.

Decision

- 1) To note the positive progress made under the pilot.
- 2) To give approval to commence the statutory process to make permanent the existing Experimental Traffic Orders for the (nine) pilot project schools, namely: Abbeyhill, Colinton, Cramond, Duddingston, Sciennes, St John's, Clermiston, St Peter's, and Towerbank Primary Schools.
- 3) To delegate authority to the Executive Director of Place, in consultation with the Convener and Vice Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee, to consider and determine objections received as part of this statutory process; and thereafter decide whether or not to make the Traffic Regulation Orders for the (nine) pilot project schools, namely: Abbeyhill, Colinton, Cramond, Duddingston, Sciennes, St John's, Clermiston, St Peter's, and Towerbank Primary Schools.
- 4) To approve the updated School Streets selection criteria for considering school applications in the future.

(Reference – Minute of Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee 12 January 2016 (item 15), report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

18. Proposed Priority Parking - Telford Area, Edinburgh

The Committee was asked to set aside the objections to the Traffic Regulation Order that would introduce Priority Parking in the Telford Area and to approve the implementation of the Telford Priority Parking Area.

Decision

- 1) To note that the content of this report.
- 2) To set aside the objections to the Traffic Regulation Order and approve the making of the Traffic Order as advertised.
- 3) To approve the implementation of the Telford Priority Parking Area.

(References – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

19. Cleanliness of the City

The outcome of the Cleanliness Index Monitoring System (CIMS) assessment of Edinburgh's streets, which had been undertaken by Keep Scotland Beautiful in June 2016, was detailed. The City of Edinburgh Council had achieved a score of 72 with 95% of the streets surveyed being clean.

Decision

To note the content of the report by the Executive Director of Place.

(References – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

20. Water of Leith Valley Improvement Proposals (Dean to Stockbridge Section)

Approval was sought for the Council's formal support of the Dean Valley Regeneration Limited applying for external funding to carry out a feasibility study and a conditions appraisal to enable the improvement of the infrastructure and landscape of the Water of Leith Valley between Dean Village and Stockbridge.

Decision

- 1) To agree to support Dean Valley Regeneration Limited in its application to secure external funding to carry out a feasibility study and conditions appraisal as part of the development of proposals for improving the area along the Water of Leith between Dean Village and Stockbridge.
- 2) To ask that the outcome of the feasibility study be reported to a future meeting of the Transport and Environment Committee.

(Reference – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

21. Edinburgh Adapts: Climate Change Adaptation Action Plan 2016-2020

Approval was sought for the Council owned actions to deal with how the City would deal with the impacts of, and build resilience to, a changing climate.

Decision

- 1) To approve the actions owned by the City of Edinburgh Council in the Action Plan.
- 2) To endorse the citywide Action Plan and Vision for a Climate-Ready Edinburgh.
- 3) To note the establishment of an Edinburgh Adapts Steering Group to provide governance for and take adaptation forward in the city.

- 4) To note the recommendations and actions of the Edinburgh Urban Design Panel.
- 5) To note progress on Edinburgh's participation in the EU Mayors Adapt initiative.
- 6) To record the Transport and Environment Committees thanks to the Leadership Team and all the organisations who contributed to the project.

(Reference – report by the Chief Executive, submitted)

22. Place Financial Monitoring 2016/17 - Month 3 Position

A forecast of the outturn position for Place against its approved 2016/17 revenue and capital budgets was provided.

Decision

To note the Place financial position and the actions underway to manage pressures and deliver savings.

(References – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

23. Public Utility Company Performance 2015/16

Details were provided of the performance of Public Utility Companies (PUs) during the period April 2015 to March 2016 (Quarters 1 to 4) and proposals for managing future PU performance.

Decision

To note the report and the arrangements for securing an improved level of performance from all Public Utilities.

(References – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

24. Landfill and Recycling

Details regarding the amount of waste sent to landfill, and the amount of waste recycled for the period April to June 2016 was submitted.

Decision

- 1) To note the report by the Executive Director of Place and the arrangements for securing an improved level of performance from all Public Utilities.
- 2) To note with concern the current unacceptable level of waste complaints being experienced in the city and notes that the Convener and Vice Convener had called for a report by the Executive Director of Place to be submitted to the next

meeting of the Transport and Environment Committee with an action plan outlining specific proposals to address the issue.

- 3) To note that the report called for would address improvements required to the reporting systems and feedback to residents who had contacted the Council regarding service complaints

(Reference – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

25. Objections to Proposed Car Club Parking Places - Station Road, Corstorphine and Manor Place

Details of objections to a traffic regulation order was provided and agreement sought to set aside the objections and to approve the Traffic Regulation Order to introduce two Car Club parking places on the west side of Station Road, Corstorphine and one on the west side of Manor Place.

Decision

- 1) To set aside the objections received to the proposals on Station Road, Corstorphine and Manor Place.
- 2) To makes the Traffic Regulation Orders as advertised.

(Reference – report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

26. A71 at Dalmahoy - Traffic Signals Option

An update concerning work undertaken since March 2015 regarding the installation of traffic signals at the A71 Dalmahoy junction, including design work was provided.

Decision

- 1) To note that sufficient detailed design work had been undertaken to produce a workable junction layout and a more detailed cost estimate.
- 2) To note that there was a projected funding shortfall of between £132,380 and £222,380.
- 3) To agree that negotiations would be undertaken with affected landowners, seeking to acquire the land required for a signalisation scheme by agreement.
- 4) To agree that the detailed design should would be completed, such that the scheme would be 'shovel ready' and that a further report be submitted to Committee on possible funding options.

- 5) To agree that a low cost safety scheme involving vehicle activated signs would be introduced as a interim measure to address the current collision profile at the junction.
- 6) To agree to receive a report within 3 cycles outlining proposals for meeting the funding shortfall referenced within the report by the Executive Director of Place.

(References – Minute of Transport and Environment Committee 17 March 2015 (item 23); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

27. Proposal to introduce traffic calming measures on Viewfield Road and Muirend Avenue

Consultation had been undertaken concerning the possible introduction of traffic calming measures in Viewfield Road and Muirend Avenue. The Committee was asked to note the results of the consultation, to set aside the objections to the proposal and approve the installation of road humps in Viewfield Road and Muirend Avenue.

Decision

- 1) To note the results of the consultation to introduce traffic calming measures in Viewfield Road and Muirend Avenue.
- 2) To set aside the objections to the proposal and approve the installation of road humps in Viewfield Road and Muirend Avenue.

(Reference - report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

28. Objections to Traffic Regulation Order TRO/16/09A-D 20mph Speed Limit - Various Locations, Edinburgh

Details of objections to a traffic regulation order was provided. Agreement was sought to set aside the objections and to approve the Traffic Regulation Order to vary the previously implemented Traffic Regulation Order TRO/15/17 for a citywide 20mph network.

Decision.

- 1) To note the objections received to the advertised Traffic Regulation Order.
- 2) To set aside the objections and give approval to make the Traffic Regulation Order as advertised.

(References – Minute of Transport and Environment Committee 12 January 2016 (item 17); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)

29. Objections to Traffic Regulation Order TRO/16/31 - Young Street

Details of an objection to a traffic regulation order was provided and agreement sought to set aside the objection and to approve the commencement of a permanent Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to make the current one way traffic management arrangements in Young Street permanent.

Decision.

- 1) To note the objection received to the advertised Traffic Regulation Order and the Council's responses to these in order to address them.
- 2) To set aside the objection received to the Traffic Regulation Order and approve the making of the Order, with regards to making permanent the current one way traffic management arrangements in Young Street, originally approved in August 2014.

(References – Minute of Transport and Environment Committee 26 August 2014 (item 7); report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted)